

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/479,648	STEELMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Geoffrey L. Knable	1733

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Geoffrey L. Knable.

(3) _____.

(2) Kevin W. Raasch.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

new ground by the Board of Appeals

Claims discussed:

amended/new claims in 11/28/05 amendment

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner gave applicant's representative a courtesy call to inform him that the 11/28/2005 amendment would be held non-responsive to the new ground of rejection by the Board of Appeals as it amends the claims and adds new claims in such a manner that they are no longer considered to be "directed to the same subject matter as the appealed claims" (MPEP 1214.01(l)).